2008.10 EchoGrid Conference



### **Programming Clouds**

#### Zhiwei Xu 徐志伟 Institute of Computing Technology (ICT) Chinese Academy of Sciences zxu@ict.ac.cn













# Contents

- What is cloud programming?
- Landscape of concurrent programming
- What can we learn from
  - Intra-Process
    - SIMT: CUDA
    - Transactional Memory
  - Inter-Process
    - Map-Reduce, Pig
    - GSML

A process could be huge Could have many I/O, sys



## Cloud Definition: User's Viewpoint

A, D O, P C, N, S

- A net computing technology that
  - Provides 7 types of resources
  - For institutional and personal users
  - The resources are
    - In the cloud (Net)
    - Virtualized
    - Owned on demand
    - Used on demand
    - Easy to own and use
- In the cloud: virtualized resources in the Net
- Service: can get the value, not physically owned
- On demand: low cost, flexible
- Virtual ownership: user in control, service quality guarantee
- Ease: fast, low cost

# Amazon EC2 Example

- Cloud
- Service

	Small	Large	Extra Large
Bits	32	64	64
RAM	1.7 GB	7.5 GB	15 GB
Disk	160 GB	850 GB	1690 GB
Compute Units	1	4	8
I/O	Medium	High	High
Firewall	Yes	Yes	Yes

A, D O, P C, N, S

# On Demand

- A user wants to render an animation movie of 60 minutes, with 30x60x60=108,000 frames. Need to do it ten times
- Rendering one frame needs 20 seconds
- To buy a PC to do the rendering
   10x2,160,000 s = 6000 h = 250 days, ¥7000
- To use EC2

– With108,000 AMIs, needs half a day,  $\pm 150$ 

# Virtual Ownership

- A user owns AMI (and the underlying EC2/S3), as if he owns a PC or server
  - Linux OS
  - Can develop, deploy, use various software and data
  - Which value can be used as Net services
- Amazon's "guarantee" of service quality
  - Amazon SLA
  - >1000 production users
- Amazon S3 disruptions
  - 2008.2 2 hours, 2008.7 8 hours

## Ease to Own and Use

- Understand HowTo: <20 min
- Register to become a user <15 minutes
- Create AMI's

<20 minutes <15 minutes <5 minutes

- A potential user only needs
  - An email address
  - A credit card



# Clash of the Computer and the Network Approaches

- Fetching 10-byte data from a blog server: 162 ms, 52 context switches at server side
- Sustained < 5% Peak?
- Many levels of programming interfaces
- New coupling



TCP/IP Stack	Web/Web Service Stacks	
4 Application	GSML BPEL WSRF WSDL SOAP HTML XML HTTP	
3 Transport		
2 Inter Network	?	
1 Network Access		

## Distributed and Decentralized Architecture

Admin, Knowledge, Naming,

Coding, Contribution



Number of Execution Sites (Datacenters, Machines)



#### Typical Structure of a CUDA Program

- Global variables declaration
  - \_\_host\_\_
  - \_\_device\_\_... \_global\_\_, \_\_constant\_\_, \_\_texture\_\_
- Function prototypes
  - \_\_global\_\_ void kernelOne(...)
- Main ()
  - allocate memory space on the device cudaMalloc(&d\_GlbIVarPtr, bytes)
  - transfer data from host to device cudaMemCpy(d\_GlbIVarPtr, h\_Gl...)
  - execution configuration setup
  - kernel call kernelOne<<<execution configuration>>>( args... );
  - transfer results from device to host cudaMemCpy(h\_GlblVarPtr,...)
  - optional: compare against golden (host computed) solution
- Kernel void kernelOne(type args,...)
  - variables declaration \_local\_\_, \_shared\_\_
    - automatic variables transparently assigned to registers or local memory
  - \_\_syncthreads()...

## **Example: Matrix Multiplication**

- **Objective:** matrix computing: C = A(wA, hA) x B (wB, wA)
- Method:
  - tiling matrix C to square sub-matrix(Csub) : improving ratio of compute to off-chip memory access to (wA\*wB)/(block\_size\*block\_size)
  - Massive thread level computing parallelism :

1.each block : /\* must be within one SM \*/ computing one square sub-matrix Csub of C;

- 2.each thread within block : /\* thread executed on one core at a time \*/ computing **one element of Csub** ;
- 3.block size of Csub = 16 ,respectively **256-thread/block** :

a. multiple of warp size for no computing resources

idle (32 physical thread/per warp)

b. one steam multiprocessor in G80 can take up 768thread: 3-block x 256(thread/block), so simultaneously executing 32-thread of a **warp** choosing from these 3 blocks.

- Host side code (the host machine)
- Device side code (the G80 graphic card)



#### Step 1: Input Matrix Data Transfer (Host-side Code)

global defines // Forward declaration of the device multiplication function a kernel function global Voiu Williamoas called by **host** but // Host multiplication function executed on **device** void Mul(const float\* A, const float\* B, int hA, int wA int wR allocates global float\* C) memory on device (Fig. 2) to store A 1. // Allocate and Load M, N to device mer int size = hA \* wA \* sizeof(float); **cudaMalloc**((void\*\*)&Ad, size); cudaMemcpy(Ad, A, size, cudaMemcpyHostToDevice); float\* Bd: copies A from host size = wA \* wB \* sizeof(float); memory to **global cudaMalloc**((void\*\*)&Bd, size); memory cudaMemcpy(Bd, B, size, cudaMemcpyHostToDevice); // Allocate C on the device float\* Cd; size = hA \* wB \* sizeof(float); **cudaMalloc**((void\*\*)&Cd, size);

#### Step 2: Output Matrix Data Transfer (Host-side Code)

- 2. // Kernel invocation code to be shown later in Step 4;
- 3. / / Read Cd from the device

cudaMemcpy(C, Cd, size, cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost);

// Free device memory

. . .

**cudaFree(Ad**); cudaFree(Bd); cudaFree(Cd);

**Note:** cudaMemalloc()/cudaMemcpy()/ cudaFree() They are **API** functions of CUDA's **runtime** and used to allocated **linear memory** and transfer data between host and device.

### Step 3: Kernel Function

(device-side code)

#### // Matrix multiplication kernel – per thread code

\_global\_\_\_ void Muld(float\* A, float\* B, int wA, int wB, float\* C)

CUDA's keyword: // Block index and thread index: Block&thread shape :1D/2D/3D facilitate selecting work and int bx = blockldx.x; int by = blockldx.y; address shared data int tx = threadIdx.x; int ty = threadIdx.y; // Index of the first sub-matrix of A processed by the block Fig.1) int aBegin = wA \* BLOCK SIZE \* by; // Index of the last sub-matrix of A processed by the block int aEnd = aBegin + wA - 1;// Step size used to iterate through the sub-From Fig.1 : (0,0) is at the upper left corner int aStep = BLOCK SIZE; X means horizontal; // Index of the first sub-matrix of B processe Y means vertical. int bBegin = BLOCK SIZE \* bx; // Step size used to iterate through the sub-matrices or B

int bStep = BLOCK\_SIZE \* wB;

// The element of the block sub-matrix that is computed by the thread float Csub = 0;

#### Step 3: Kernel Function(cont)

```
for (int a = aBegin, b = bBegin;
                                                      Why As/Bs located in "share
  a \le aEnd; a += aStep, b += bStep)
                                                      memory" see Fig.2 :
 // Shared memory for the sub-matrix of A and B
                                                          16K-Byte on chip;
    shared float As[BLOCK SIZE][BLOCK SIZE];
                                                          16-bank: suport 16
    shared____float Bs[BLOCK_SIZE][BLOCK_SIZE]; simultaneous accesses
  // each thread loads one element of each matrix
                                                      when no bank confict;
  // Load the matrices from global memory to shared memory;
  As[ty][tx] = A[a + wA * ty + tx];
                                                           2-cycle access delay
  Bs[ty][tx] = B[b + wB * ty + tx];
                                                      compare to 200-cycle delay
  # Synchronize to make sure the matrices are loaded of global memory !
    syncthreads();
  // Multiply the two matrices together;
                                                        _syncthreads():
 for (int k = 0; k < BLOCK_SIZE; ++k)
                                                       CUDA'S intrinsics:
     Csub += As[ty][k] * Bs[k][tx];
                                                        1. like barrier();
     // Synchronize to make sure that the preceding
                                                        2. but only synchronizes all
     // computation is done before loading two new
                                                      threads in a block:
     // sub-matrices of A and B in the next iteration
                                                        3. guarantee memory
   _syncthreads();
                                                      consistency (e.g.store
                                                      serializing) to avoid RAW
int c = wB * BLOCK SIZE * by + BLOCK SIZE * bx;
                                                      hazard in shared or global
C[c + wB * ty + tx] = Csub;
                                                      memory
} // Write the block sub-matrix to global memory;
```

#### Step 4: Kernel Invocation

(Host-side Code)

#### // Setup the execution configuration

dim3 dimBlock (BLOCK\_SIZE, BLOCK\_SIZE); dim3 dimGrid (wB / dimBlock.x, hA / dimBlock.y);

#### // Launch the device computation threads!

Muld<<<dimGrid, dimBlock>>>(Ad, Bd, wA, wB, Cd);

Built-in Variables(reseved) of CUDA dim3 gridDim: Dimensions of the grid in blocks (gridDim.z unused) dim3 blockDim: Dimensions of the block in threads Here: thread array is 2D: 16x16 block array is 2D: wB/16 x hA/16	Host code uses "<< <dimgrid, dimblock="">&gt;&gt;" as execution configuration to cal function Muld.</dimgrid,>
since block size is 16.	

# Why Transactional Memory

- Pitfalls with locks:
  - Priority inversion. A lower priority thread is preempted while holding a lock which is needed by high priority threads.
  - Convoying. When a thread holding a lock is de-scheduled or interrupted, other threads that need the lock are queue up, unable to progress.
  - Deadlock. Threads attempt to acquire locks in different order.
- Atomic primitives such as CompareAndSwap() operate on only one word at a time, resulting in complex algorithms.
- Compositionality. It is difficult to compose multiple calls to multiple objects into atomic sections.

## Basic Semantics of Transactional Memory

- Transaction: a sequence of steps executed by a single thread. Allow atomic updates to multiple memory locations.
  - Serializability. Transactions must appear to execute sequentially, in a one-at-a-time order. Do not deadlock or livelock.
  - Atomicity. Transactions are executed speculatively, meaning they only make tentative changes to objects. If a transaction completes without synchronization conflict, then it **commits**. Otherwise it **aborts**. Intermediate states are not observable to other transactions.
- Nested transaction
  - One method can start a transaction and then call another method without worrying about whether or not the nested method call starts a new transaction.
  - A nested transaction can abort without aborting its parent.

### TM example I: the enq() method

 The enq() method of a unbounded transactional queue object. All operations within enq() either complete atomically or abort without any side effect.

```
atomic \{
```

//construct a new node

NodeType node = new\_node(item);

//insert the node into the unbounded queue

node.next = tail;

```
tail = node;
```

```
}//atomic
```

}//enq

# TM example II: the enq() method with retry mechanism

• The enq() method of a bounded transactional queue. The method enters an atomic block and tests whether the queue is full. If so, it calls retry, which rolls back the enclosing transaction, pauses it, and restarts it later.

```
Void enq(T item){
```

```
atomic {
    if(count == items.length)
        retry;
    items[tail] = item;
    if(++tail == items.length)
        tail = 0;
        count++;
    }//atomic
}//enq
```

# TM example III: composing transactions

 The deq\_enq() method composes a deq() call that dequeues an item x from a queue q0 and an enq() call that enqueues that item to another queue q1.

```
Void deq_enq(QueueT q0, QueueT q1){
  atomic {
     NodeT item = q0.deq();
     q1.enq(item);
  }//atomic
}//enq
```

# TM example IV: conditional synchronization

- The multiple\_deq() call succeeds if either sub-transaction q0.deq() completes or sub-transaction q1.deq() completes.
- The orElse statement joins two or more code blocks. The thread first executes the first block. If it calls retry, then that subtransaction is rolled back, and the thread executes the second block. If that block also calls retry, then the orElse as a whole pauses, and later reruns each of the atomic blocks until one of them completes.

```
Void multiple_deq(QueueT q0, QueueT q1){
```

#### atomic {

```
NodeT item = q0.deq();
```

```
} orElse {
```

```
NodeT item = q1.deq();
```

```
}
```

```
}//enq
```

# Some Challenges of TM

- I/O: writes to disk, display, network, etc
  - I/O operations are hard to roll back
- Performance isolation
  - Most hardware TM can not context switch within a transaction
  - Long transactions can block progress
  - OS system calls put kernel resources inside transactions
- Real time
  - TM makes real time software more challenging

### Pig Example

Chris Olston et al, Yahoo! Research

#### Find the top 10 most visited pages in each category Visits Url Info

User	Uri	Time	Url	Categor y	PageRan k
Amy	cnn.com	8:00	cnn.com	News	0.9
Amy	bbc.com	10:00	bbc.com	News	0.8
Amy	flickr.com	10:05	flickr.com	Photos	0.7
Fred	cnn.com	12:00	espn.com	Sports	0.9
	•			•	
	۲			•	

#### Data Flow



## In Pig Latin

visits = load '/data/visits' as (user, url, time); gVisits = group visits by url; visitCounts = foreach gVisits generate url, count(visits);

urlInfo = load '/data/urlInfo' as (url, category, pRank); visitCounts = join visitCounts by url, urlInfo by url;

gCategories = group visitCounts by category; topUrls = foreach gCategories generate top(visitCounts,10);

store topUrls into '/data/topUrls';

## Traditional: Povray-G



	Lines of Codes	Development Time
UI	74 (html, css, javascript)	155
App Logic	121 (java, JSP)	45
Others	133 (javascript, java)	125
Accessing Resources	379 (Java)	290
Total	712	615

#### **Programming Difficulties**

- Many languages
- Multiple modules
- JSP tight coupling
- Web Server is the bottleneck
- Overhead in accessing resources:
   54% codes, 48% time
- Other overheads
   (communication, job partition, parallelism):
   19% codes, 20% time



## **GRenderFunnel in Povray-G**



#### **GSML** Editor



#### App Logic Composer



## **GSML vs. Traditional**

84%

44%

- Lines of Codes Reduced 18.5%, Time reduced 88.9%
  - UI:
  - App Logic:
  - Accessing Resources: 94%
  - Others:

100%



# Summary

- Many programming models are being researched and used for parallel and net computing, now clouds
- Main issues
  - Efficiency
  - Correctness (e.g., eventual consistency)
  - Usability
- Many open problems
  - Evaluation workloads, metrics
  - What are the suitable models (cf: SIMT)



#### zxu@ict.ac.cn